Oakley Court

MEDUSA^{19-20 November 2012}

Model of Ecosystem Dynamics, nutrient Utilisation, **Sequestration and Acidification**

Andrew Yool, Katya Popova, Bablu Sinha, Tom Anderson and the NOC-S NEMO team

History of MEDUSA

- Conceived and developed as part of Oceans 2025 Research Programme
- Focus on the carbon cycle, export production and surface-to-deep ocean connectivity
- Structure created *de novo* but based loosely on developments at NOC by Mike Fasham
- Parameterisation drawn from a mixture of extant NOC models plus literature "best"

Philosophy of MEDUSA

- NPZD is no longer up to the job
- But simplicity (re: formulation, simulation and analysis) is still to be valued
- Intermediate complexity approach favoured
- Basic NPZD structure still (broadly) valid, so increment upwards from this
- Size, silicon and iron were primary motivators for MEDUSA's double-NPZD structure

Who's in?

- Nitrogen: largely a legacy choice (cf. Fasham)
- Silicon: see diatoms
- Iron: now well-established that significant areas of World Ocean in iron stress
- Diatoms: major players in ecosystems; controls on abundance relatively well-understood (fast growth, large size); no (major) mysteries
- Non-diatoms: small phytoplankton are key players in ecosystems, especially oligotrophic ones; modelled as fast-growing generic phytoplankton
- Zooplankton: micro- and meso- added to complement (= eat) corresponding phytoplankton

Who's out?

- Phosphorus: largely a legacy choice but there's a good case for choosing it over nitrogen
- Organic nutrients: could be key in oligotrophic systems, but these aren't important in total-flux terms and there are gaps in understanding
- N2-fixers: largely omitted to keep nitrogen cycle simple (i.e. nothing in, nothing out), but controls on them are becoming better known
- Coccolithophorids: omitted purely because of ignorance of controlling factors; also, they are not "in charge" of CaCO3 production in the way that diatoms are of biogenic opal production
- Bacteria: assumed role in remineralisation handled instead via simple rate parameters

Yool *et al.*, *GMD*, 2011

Yool *et al.*, in prep.

$$
\frac{\partial Pn}{\partial t} = +\underbrace{[PPp_n \cdot Pn]}_{\text{non-diatom PP}} - \underbrace{[G\mu_{p_n}]}_{\text{1200 graze}} - \underbrace{[M1p_n]}_{\text{1200 graze}} - \underbrace{[M2p_n]}_{\text{linear losses}} \tag{1}
$$
\n
$$
-\underbrace{[M1p_n]}_{\text{linear losses}} - \underbrace{[M2p_n]}_{\text{diatom pp}} - \underbrace{[Gmp_d]}_{\text{mzoograze}} - \underbrace{[M1p_d]}_{\text{linear losses}} \tag{2}
$$
\n
$$
-\underbrace{[M2p_d]}_{\text{non-lin losses}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial Ch1p_n}{\partial t} = \theta_{p_n} \text{Cal} \cdot \xi^{-1} \cdot (+\underbrace{[Rp_n \cdot PPp_n \cdot Pn]}_{\text{non-diatom PP}} \tag{3}
$$
\n
$$
-\underbrace{[G\mu_{p_n}]}_{\text{1200 graze}} - \underbrace{[Gmp_n]}_{\text{mzoog raze}} - \underbrace{[M1p_n]}_{\text{linear losses}}
$$
\n
$$
-\underbrace{[M2p_n]}_{\text{200 graze}}) \tag{4}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial Ch1p_d}{\partial t} = \theta_{p_d}^{Ch1} \cdot \xi^{-1} \cdot (+\underbrace{[Rp_d \cdot PPp_d \cdot Pd]}_{\text{diatom PP}}
$$

$$
-\underbrace{[Gmp_d]}_{mzoo\,graze}\underbrace{[M1p_d]}_{linear\,losses}\underbrace{[M2p_d]}_{non-lin\,losses})
$$

$$
\frac{\partial Pd_{Si}}{\partial t} = +\underbrace{[PPp_{d_{Si}}\cdot Pd_{Si}]}_{diatom\,PP}\underbrace{-[Gmp_{d_{Si}}]}_{mzoo\,graze}\underbrace{-[M1p_{d_{Si}}]}_{linear\,losses}
$$

 (5)

$$
-\underbrace{\lfloor M2p_{d_{Si}}\rfloor}_{\text{non-lin losses}} - \underbrace{\lfloor DSp_{d_{Si}}\rfloor}_{\text{dissolution}}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial Z\mu}{\partial t} = + \underbrace{[F_{Z\mu}]}_{\text{all grazing image}} - \underbrace{[Gm_{Z\mu}]}_{\text{mzoo gaze}} - \underbrace{[M1_{Z\mu}]}_{\text{linear losses}}
$$
(6)
-
$$
\underbrace{[M2_{Z\mu}]}_{\text{non-lin losses}}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial Zm}{\partial t} = + \underbrace{[F_{Zm}]}_{\text{all grazing linear losses}} - \underbrace{[M1_{Zm}]}_{\text{non-lin losses}} \tag{7}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} = + \underbrace{[M2p_{n}]}_{\text{non-diatom losses}} + \underbrace{[(1 - D1_{\text{frac}}) \cdot M2p_{d}]}_{\text{IZ200 losses}} \tag{8}
$$
\n
$$
+ \underbrace{[M2z\mu]}_{\text{IZ200 losses}} + \underbrace{[(1 - P_{N}) \cdot Mz\mu]}_{\text{IZ200 degrees} + \underbrace{[(1 - P_{N}) \cdot Mz\mu]}_{\text{IZ200 degrees} + \underbrace{[(1 - P_{N}) \cdot Mz\mu]}_{\text{IZ200 degrees} + \underbrace{[MD]}_{\text{IZ000 pressure}} - \underbrace{[MD]}_{\text{IZ000 phase}} - \underbrace{[MD]}_{\text{IZ000 phase}} - \underbrace{[MD]}_{\text{IZ000 phase}} - \underbrace{[MD]}_{\text{min}} - \underbrace{[Mg \cdot \frac{\partial D}{\partial z}]}_{\text{inishing}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = -\underbrace{[PPp_{n} \cdot P_{n}]}_{\text{non-diatom PP}} - \underbrace{[PPp_{d} \cdot P_{d}]}_{\text{diatom PP}} \tag{9}
$$
\n
$$
+ \underbrace{[\phi \cdot (G\mu_{p_{n}} + G\mu_{p})]}_{\text{IZ000 message's feeding}} + \underbrace{[E_{Z\mu}]}_{\text{IZ000 message's feeding}} + \underbrace{[M1p_{n}]}_{\text{IZ000 message}} + \underbrace{[M1p_{n}]}_{\text{IZ000 losses}} + \underbrace{[M1p_{n}]}_{\text{IZ101}} + \underbrace{[M1p_{d}]}_{\text{IZ110} + \underbrace{[M1p_{dS}]}_{\text{IZ1000 losses}} \tag{10}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = -\underbrace{[PPp_{d_{Si}} \cdot Pds_{i}]}_{\text{non-lin. losses}} + \underbrace{[M1p_{d_{Si}}]}_{\text{non-lin. losses}} + \underbrace{[LDSp_{d_{Si}}]}_{\text{disolution}} + \underbrace{[(-1 - D1f_{\text{frac}}) \cdot M2p_{d_{Si}}]}_{\text{non-lin. losses}} + \underbrace{[LDS_{S1}(k)]}_{\text{is
$$

What lies beneath ...

$$
\theta_{\text{Pn}}^{\text{Chi}} = \frac{\text{Chl}_{\text{Pn}} \cdot \xi}{\text{Pn}}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}_{\text{Pn}} = \alpha_{\text{Pn}} \cdot \theta_{\text{Pn}}^{\text{Chi}}
$$
\n
$$
V_{\text{Pn}}r = V_{\text{Pn}} \cdot 1.066^T
$$
\n
$$
J_{\text{Pn}} = \frac{V_{\text{Pn}}r \cdot \hat{\alpha}_{\text{Pn}} \cdot I}{(V_{\text{Pn}}^2 r + \hat{\alpha}_{\text{Pn}}^2 \cdot I^2)^{1/2}}
$$
\n
$$
Q_{\text{N},\text{Pn}} = \frac{N}{k_{\text{N},\text{Pn}} + N}
$$
\n
$$
Q_{\text{Fe},\text{Pn}} = \frac{F}{k_{\text{Fe},\text{Pn}} + F}
$$

$$
PP_{Pn} = J_{Pn} \cdot Q_{N, Pn} \cdot Q_{Fe, Pn}
$$

$$
\theta_{\text{Pd}}^{\text{Chl}} = \frac{\text{Chl}_{\text{Pd}} \cdot \xi}{\text{Pd}}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\alpha}_{\text{Pd}} = \alpha_{\text{Pd}} \cdot \theta_{\text{Pd}}^{\text{Chl}}
$$
\n
$$
V_{\text{Pd}}r = V_{\text{Pd}} \cdot 1.066^T
$$
\n
$$
J_{\text{Pd}} = \frac{V_{\text{Pd}}r \cdot \hat{\alpha}_{\text{Pd}} \cdot I}{(V_{\text{Pd}}^2r + \hat{\alpha}_{\text{Pd}}^2 \cdot I^2)^{1/2}}
$$
\n
$$
Q_{\text{N, Pd}} = \frac{\text{N}}{k_{\text{N, Pd}} + \text{N}}
$$
\n
$$
Q_{\text{Si}} = \frac{\text{S}}{k_{\text{Si} + \text{S}}}
$$
\n
$$
Q_{\text{Fe, Pd}} = \frac{\text{F}}{k_{\text{Fe, Pd}} + \text{F}}
$$
\n
$$
R_{\text{Si:N}} = \frac{\text{Pd}_{\text{Si}}}{\text{Pd}}
$$
\n
$$
R_{\text{N:Si}} = \frac{\text{Pd}}{\text{Pd}_{\text{Si}}}
$$
\nIf $R_{\text{Si:N}} \le R_{\text{Si-N}}^0$ then\n
$$
\text{PP}_{\text{Pd}} = 0
$$

else if
$$
R_{\text{Si:N}}^0 < R_{\text{Si:N}} < (3 \cdot R_{\text{Si:N}}^0)
$$
 then
\n
$$
PPp d = (Jp d \cdot QN, p d \cdot QFe, pd)
$$
\n
$$
\cdot \left(U_{\infty} \cdot \frac{R_{\text{Si:N}} - R_{\text{Si:N}}^0}{R_{\text{Si:N}}} \right)
$$

else if
$$
R_{\text{Si}:N} \geq (3 \cdot R_{\text{Si}:N}^0)
$$
 then
\n
$$
PP_{\text{Pd}} = (J_{\text{Pd}} \cdot Q_{\text{N}, \text{Pd}} \cdot Q_{\text{Fe}, \text{Pd}})
$$
\nIf $R_{\text{Si}:N} < (3 \cdot R_{\text{Si}:N}^0)^{-1}$ then
\n
$$
PP_{\text{Pd}_{\text{Si}}} = (J_{\text{Pd}} \cdot Q_{\text{Si}})
$$

else if $(3 \cdot R_{\rm Si:N}^0)^{-1} \leq R_{\rm Si:N} < (R_{\rm Si:N}^0)^{-1}$ then $\text{PP}_{\text{Pdsi}} = (J_{\text{Pd}} \cdot \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Si}})$ $\cdot \left(U_\infty \cdot \frac{R_{\rm N:Si} - R_{\rm N:Si}^0}{R_{\rm N:Si}}\right)$

else if $R_{\textrm{Si}:N}\geq (R_{\textrm{Si}:N}^{0})^{-1}$ then $\text{PP}_{\text{Pd}_{\text{Si}}} = 0$

$$
Gm_X = \frac{g_m \cdot p_{mX} \cdot X^2 \cdot Zm}{k_m^2 + Fm}
$$

where *X* is Pn, Pd, Zµ or D.
\n
$$
Fm = (p_{mPn} \cdot Pn^2) + (p_{mPd} \cdot Pd^2) + (p_{mZ\mu} \cdot Z\mu^2) + (p_{mD} \cdot D^2)
$$

 $\mathrm{Gmp_{dg_i}} = R_{\textrm{Si:N}} \cdot \mathrm{Gmp_d}$

$$
\begin{aligned} IN_{Zm} = (1-\phi) \cdot (Gmp_d + Gmp_n \\ + Gm_{Z\mu} + Gmp_d) \end{aligned}
$$

$$
IC_{Zm} = (1 - \phi) \cdot ((\theta_{Pd} \cdot Gm_{Pd}) + (\theta_{Pn} \cdot Gm_{Pn})
$$

$$
+ (\theta_{Z\mu} \cdot Gm_{Z\mu}) + (\theta_D \cdot Gm_D))
$$

$$
\theta_{Fm} = \frac{IC_{Zm}}{IN_{Zm}}
$$

$$
\theta_{Fm}^* = \frac{\beta_N \cdot \theta_{Zm}}{\beta_C \cdot k_C}
$$

if $\theta_{\text{Fm}} > \theta_{\text{Fm}}^*$ then N is limiting and \ldots

$$
\textit{F}_{Zm}\!=\!\beta_N\cdot\textit{IN}_{Zm}
$$

 $E_{Zm} = 0$

$$
R_{\text{Zm}} = (\beta_{\text{C}} \cdot \text{IC}_{\text{Zm}}) - (\theta_{\text{Zm}} \cdot F_{\text{Zm}})
$$

else if $\theta_{\text{Fm}}<\theta_{\text{Fm}}^*$ then C is limiting and \dots

$$
F_{\rm Zm} = \frac{\beta_{\rm C} \cdot k_{\rm C} \cdot \rm IC_{Zm}}{\theta_{\rm Zm}}
$$

$$
E_{\rm Zm} = \rm IC_{Zm} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta_{\rm N}}{\theta_{\rm Fm}} - \frac{\beta_{\rm C} \cdot k_{\rm C}}{\theta_{\rm Zm}}\right)
$$

$$
R_{\rm Zm} = (\beta_{\rm C} \cdot \rm IC_{Zm}) - (\theta_{\rm Zm} \cdot F_{\rm Zm})
$$

(Some) Guts of MEDUSA

- Multiplicative nutrient limitation
- Temperature dependent growth / remin.
- Submodel regulating diatom N, Si uptake
- Fasham-esque prey switching
- N:C balancing of zooplankton diet
- Aeolian / benthic supply of iron
- Concentration-dependent Fe scavenging
- \bullet [CO₃⁻]-dependent calcification rate
- Ballast-driven export flux and remin.

DIN Chlorophyll MEDUSA-2; 1860-2005 simulation

Primary production and the contract of the con

Happy / Not happy

- We're happy(-ish) with the overall performance of MEDUSA
- However, specific weaknesses are:
	- significantly elevated Southern Ocean nutrients (NEMO partially to blame)
	- silicic acid too low away from the SO
	- chlorophyll too low in oligotrophic gyres
	- production a little on the low side
	- long-term drifts in nutrient fields
- And we have no good, systematic way of altering parameterisations to address these issues

Where next?

- Flynn & Fasham style intracellular pools
- Treatment of larger non-diatoms
- Inclusion of missing N-cycle processes (e.g. denitrification, implicit N2-fixation)

• General upgrades to formulations and parameters

The bigger picture ... as I see it; 1

- **iMARNET** shouldn't shy away from nutrient-only and NZPD models – we "know" they're wrong, but are our models objectively better than them?
- Alongside developing a new marine BGC component for NERC's ESM strategy, iMARNET should think $-$ clearly and early $-$ about the broader rules that should guide ecosystem model architecture
- Can we learn anything from other communities, for instance terrestrial ecology and JULES?

The bigger picture ... as I see it; 2

- Modelling internal physiology and cellular economics will provide valuable constraints on parameterisations (cf. trade-offs)
- Allometry in physiology and ecology (e.g. Mark Baird, Ben Ward) is the way to bump-up model granularity (i.e. not the original Darwin model)
- Diverse or poorly-understood groups (e.g. coccolithophorids) should only be added very carefully until the situation improves
- Sooner or later we're going to have to engage more fully with the fish people

DIN Silicic acid Iron

DIC Alkalinity Alkalinity Oxygen

